

March 22, 2018

Hon. John Anthony Tomasino, Clerk  
Supreme Court of Florida

**Email: tomasino@flcourts.org**

500 South Duval Street -Tallahassee, Florida 32399-6556 - Telephone: (850) 488-0125

Re: Charles A. Tavares v. Bridgeloan Investors, Inc., a Florida corporation  
Third DCA Appeal No.: 3D16-2475 Trial Court 11<sup>th</sup> Judicial Miami, FL, No.: 09-93058  
Supreme Court of Florida Case<sup>1</sup> No.: SC18-435

Dear Hon. Clerk Tomasino,

COMES NOW, Appellant, CHARLES A. TAVARES ("Tavares"), Pro Se, in the above captioned matter, gives formal notice to the Supreme Court of Florida (this "Court") and other interested parties, that Tavares never filed any notice of appeal to this Court in the aforesaid matter, and therefore, this Court never had, or could have had jurisdiction to file and open Tavares appeal upon this Court (as "Case No.: SC18-435"), and could not, and did not have jurisdiction to review the aforesaid matter, in violation of, among other things, due process rights of Tavares, a US citizen, improperly filing, improperly reviewing, improperly denying it, and offending due process, further depriving Tavares of a proper and clean court record in the matter.

WHEREFORE, Tavares respectfully moves this Court to formally and unequivocally to correct and clean the record, showing the irrefutable and uncontroversial facts that Tavares never filed an appeal with this Court, that this Court clearly erred in opening and filing on its docket an appeal directed to the United States Supreme Court, further erring in denying such an improper opened case without jurisdiction, further offending the due process clause, and Tavares' rights. Sincerely,

/s/ Charles A. Tavares

Charles A. Tavares, Appellant Pro Se

245 SE 1<sup>st</sup> St., Suite 221 - Miami, FL 33131-Tel. (305) 778-0707 -Email: ctavares@bellsouth.net

Encl.: Exhibit "1"

c.c.: Hon. Clerk Mary Cay Banks, Hon. Judge Gisela Cardonne Ely, and interested parties.

---

<sup>1</sup> On March 12, 2018, the Third DCA issued a PCA decision denying Tavares' motion for rehearing on Tavares' motion in this matter, predicated on hundreds of violations upon the trial court, offending due process, among other things, the trial court issuing a final decision on an unscheduled and unnoticed bogus trial of April 8, 2011, coercion and extortion of Tavares by his own attorneys in the case, and the presiding of Judge Norma S. Lindsey, in fatal biased and prejudice against Tavares as wife of H. Eugene Lindsey, an opposing attorney in the same case. On March 19, 2018, Tavares filed a notice of appeal to the United States Supreme Court. On March 19, 2018, inexplicably, the 3<sup>rd</sup> DCA transmitted "notice" to this Court, stating Tavares did not pay the "fee." On March 21, 2018, this Court, inexplicably, without jurisdiction, issued a denial notice of an appeal that was never appealed to this Court. See Exhibit "1"

Charles A. Tavares v. Bridgeloan Investors, Inc., a Florida corporation  
Third DCA Appeal No.: 3D16-2475 Trial Court 11<sup>th</sup> Judicial Miami, FL, No.: 09-93058  
Supreme Court of Florida Case No.: SC18-435

# **EXHIBIT “1”**

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA  
THIRD DISTRICT

CASE NO.: 3D16-2475

L.T. Case No.: 09-93058

CHARLES A. TAVARES,

Appellant,

v.

BRIDGELoAN INVESTORS, INC., a  
Florida corporation,

Appellee.

---

**APPELLANT'S NOTICE TO INVOKE DISCRETIONARY  
JURISDICTION OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT**

NOTICE IS GIVEN that Appellant, CHARLES A. TAVARES ("Tavares"), Pro Se, Pursuant to Fla. R. App. P. 9.030(2)(A)(iv), invokes the discretionary jurisdiction of the United States Supreme Court to review the decision of this Court rendered on March 12, 2018 (See Exhibit "A"), on an Appeal from the Eleventh Judicial Circuit Court in and for Miami-Dade County, Florida, denying Tavares' September 13, 2016's third motion to reopen the case due to massive fraud upon the court by Bridgeloan Investors, its attorneys at Hall, Lamb and Hall, P.A. in collusion with Defendant's attorneys, and others to defraud Tavares of all assets, obstruct justice, and deprive Tavares of rights. (See Exhibit "B")

RECEIVED, 3/19/2018 5:19 AM, Mary Cay Blanks, Third District Court of Appeal

The discretionary review of the US Supreme Court is sought to review issues of same questions of law expressly and directly conflicting with decisions of other District Courts of Appeal, Florida Supreme Court and the United States Supreme Court, stemming from egregious violations of Tavares' basic due process guarantees, offending Art. I, §9, Fla. Const., under due process clause, U.S. Const. Amend. V, U.S. Const., and under due process clause, §1, U.S. Const. Amend. XIV, U.S. Const., causing a grave miscarriage of justice, negatively affecting the public's perception of justice and the courts' ability to render meaningful justice predicated on all US citizens' rights to basic due process and rule of law upon courts of law in Florida, as the uncontroversial evidence clearly shows the trial court, among many egregious violations of due process, issuing a final order against Tavares on an unnoticed and unscheduled bogus trial of April 8, 2011, and Judge Norma S. Lindsey ("Judge Lindsey"), intentionally and knowingly, presiding the case for one year in fatal biased conflict, as wife of opposing counsel<sup>1</sup> in this matter, and in three other Tavares' cases, depriving Tavares of due process, obstructing justice, causing harmful error and a grave miscarriage of justice.

Further, the record displayed<sup>2</sup> on the matter clearly and convincingly

---

<sup>1</sup> Opposing counsel Harold Eugene Lindsey III ("Eugene Lindsey"), representing MUNB Loan Holdings, LLC A/K/A The Bank of New York Mellon ("BNY Mellon").

<sup>2</sup> Even the Court's order of March 12, 2018, showing Judge Lindsey, now a Judge on this Court, recusing herself from the *En Banc* decision, clearly shows on the order's face that she knew she could not preside the lower case in fatal biased conflict and prejudice against Defendant Tavares' basic due process rights,

demonstrates the presiding judges, knowingly and intentionally, violating their sworn oath, and Judicial Canons 1., 2.A.B., and 3.A.,B.(1)(2)(5)(7)(8), E.(1)(a)(c) (d)(ii), offending all US Citizens' rights to an impartial and unbiased tribunal.

WHEREFORE, Appellant, CHARLES A. TAVARES, a US citizen, respectfully gives notice to the Court that Tavares is seeking discretionary review of the United States Supreme Court for issues in the case clearly offending basic due process rights of US citizens upon courts of law in Florida.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Charles A. Tavares  
Charles A. Tavares, Appellant Pro Se  
245 SE 1<sup>st</sup> Street, Suite 221  
Miami, Florida 33131  
Telephone: (305) 778-0707  
Email: ctavares@bellsouth.net

---

clearly offending the due process clause, causing a grave miscarriage of justice, as well as shown on its face of the October 1, 2016's Circuit Court order issued denying Tavares' third motion to reopen the case, drafted by Hall, Lamb and Hall, P.A., that intentionally omits from the order, "obstruct justice, and deprive Tavares of rights.", in order to deny Tavares a proper and correct record, and showing the corruptive powers of officers of the court implicated in the scheme, among other things, depriving Tavares, a US citizen, of basic rights to due process in a court of law in Florida. (See Exhibit "B")

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL  
OF FLORIDA  
THIRD DISTRICT  
MARCH 12, 2018

CHARLES A. TAVARES  
Appellant(s)/Petitioner(s),  
vs.  
BRIDGELoAN INVESTORS, INC.  
Appellee(s)/Respondent(s),

CASE NO.: 3D16-2475

L.T. NO.: 09-93058

Upon consideration, appellant's pro se motion for rehearing, clarification, or, in the alternative, motion for certification are hereby denied.

SUAREZ, LAGOA and SALTER, JJ., concur.

Appellant's pro se motion for rehearing en banc is denied.

ROTHENBERG, C.J., and SUAREZ, LAGOA, SALTER, EMAS, FERNANDEZ,  
LOGUE, SCALES and LUCK, JJ., concur. LINDSEY, J., recused.



cc: Harold Eugene Lindsey      Victoria Mendez      Patrick J. Rengstl  
Larry Stumpf                      Roy Black              Thomas R. Lehman  
Jorge L. Fors                        Jared M. Lopez        Matthew P. Leto  
Andrew C. Hall                      Charles A. Tavares



LESLIE B. ROTHENBERG  
CHIEF JUDGE  
RICHARD J. SUAREZ  
BARBARA LAGOA  
VANCE E. SALTER  
KEVIN EMAS  
IVAN F. FERNANDEZ  
THOMAS LOGUE  
EDWIN A. SCALES, III  
ROBERT J. LUCK  
NORMA LINDSEY  
JUDGES

DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL  
THIRD DISTRICT  
2001 S.W. 117 AVENUE  
MIAMI, FLORIDA 33175-1716

MARY CAY BLANKS  
CLERK  
VERONICA ANTONOFF  
MARSHAL  
DEBBIE MCCURDY  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK  
MARIA MIHAIC  
CHIEF DEPUTY MARSHAL

TELEPHONE (305) 229-3200

March 19, 2018

Re: Charles A. Tavares  
v.  
Bridgeloan Investors, Inc.,  
Appeal No.: 3D16-2475  
Trial Court No.: 09-93058  
Trial Court Judge: Gisela Cardonne

Dear Mr. Tomasino:

Attached is a certified copy of the Notice invoking the discretionary jurisdiction of the Supreme Court pursuant to Rule 9.120, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. Attached also is this Court's opinion or decision relevant to this case.

\_\_\_\_\_ The filing fee prescribed by Section 25.241(3), Florida Statutes, was received by this Court and is also attached.

X The filing fee prescribed by Section 25.241(3), Florida Statutes, was not received by this Court.

\_\_\_\_\_ Petitioner/Appellant has previously been determined insolvent by the circuit court or our court in the underlying case.

\_\_\_\_\_ Petitioner/Appellant has already filed, and this court has granted, petitioner/appellant's motion to proceed without payment of costs in this case.

No filing fee is required because:

- \_\_\_\_\_ Summary Appeal (Rule 9.141)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Unemployment Appeal Commission
- \_\_\_\_\_ Habeas Corpus
- \_\_\_\_\_ Juvenile Case
- \_\_\_\_\_ Other:

If there are any questions regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to contact this Office.

Sincerely,

MARY CAY BLANKS  
Clerk, Third District Court of Appeal

By: Barbara Kelle

# Supreme Court of Florida

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 21, 2018

**CASE NO.: SC18-435**

Lower Tribunal No(s):

3D16-2475; 132009CA093058000001

CHARLES A. TAVARES

vs.

BRIDGELoAN INVESTORS, INC.

Petitioner(s)


Respondent(s)

This case is hereby dismissed. This Court lacks jurisdiction to review an unelaborated decision from a district court of appeal that is issued without opinion or explanation or that merely cites to an authority that is not a case pending review in, or reversed or quashed by, this Court. *See Wells v. State*, 132 So. 3d 1110 (Fla. 2014); *Jackson v. State*, 926 So. 2d 1262 (Fla. 2006); *Gandy v. State*, 846 So. 2d 1141 (Fla. 2003); *Stallworth v. Moore*, 827 So. 2d 974 (Fla. 2002); *Harrison v. Hyster Co.*, 515 So. 2d 1279 (Fla. 1987); *Dodi Publ'g Co. v. Editorial Am. S.A.*, 385 So. 2d 1369 (Fla. 1980); *Jenkins v. State*, 385 So. 2d 1356 (Fla. 1980).

No motion for rehearing or reinstatement will be entertained by the Court.

A True Copy

Test:



John A. Tomasino

Clerk, Supreme Court



td

Served:

MATTHEW P. LETO  
ROY BLACK  
THOMAS LEHMAN  
HON. GISELA CARDONNE ELY, JUDGE  
HON. MARY CAY BLANKS, CLERK

CHARLES A. TAVARES  
JORGE L FORS  
HON. HARVEY RUVIN, CLERK  
HAROLD EUGENE LINDSEY, III  
PATRICK J. RENGSTL