

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

THE FLORIDA BAR,

Complainant,

v.

AUGUSTUS SOL INVICTUS,

Respondent.

Supreme Court Case No.
SC2025-1655

The Florida Bar File No.
2024-30,059 (10A)(CFC)

**THE FLORIDA BAR'S REPLY TO RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE TO
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THE REFEREE'S
RECOMMENDATIONS SHOULD NOT BE APPROVED**

The Florida Bar replies to the Respondent's Response to Order to Show Cause Why the Referee's Recommendations Should Not Be Approved:

1. The Florida Bar filed a Notice of Determination or Judgment of Guilt on October 21, 2025, notifying the Court of respondent's conviction of a felony in Virginia.

2. This Court entered an Order on October 22, 2025, suspending respondent from the practice of law pursuant to 3-7.2(f) of the Rules Regulating The Florida Bar.

3. Respondent filed an Emergency Petition to Stay Suspension on October 24, 2025.

4. The referee held a hearing on respondent's emergency petition on November 3, 2025, and denied respondent's petition.

5. On November 12, 2025, the Court issued an order to respondent to show cause why the referee's recommendations should not be approved.

6. Respondent filed his response on December 1, 2025.

7. Respondent has failed to show why the referee's recommendations should not be approved.

8. Under Rules Regulating the Florida Bar 3-7.2(h)(1), "The referee will recommend termination or modification of the suspension only if the suspended member can demonstrate that the member is not the convicted person or that the criminal offense is not a felony."

9. Additionally, respondent's appeal of his criminal conviction is not grounds for staying his suspension. Rule 3-7.2(j) states that "The suspension will remain in effect during any appeal of the determination or judgment of guilt of a felony offense in the criminal proceeding."

10. Respondent has not demonstrated that he was not the person convicted, nor has respondent demonstrated that the criminal offense he was convicted of is not a felony; and because respondent's appeal of his

conviction does not constitute grounds for staying the suspension, the referee correctly denied respondent's request.

The Florida Bar requests that the Court approve the referee's recommendations.

Respectfully submitted,



Jill Marie Hampton, Bar Counsel
The Florida Bar
Orlando Branch Office
1000 Legion Place, Suite 1625
Orlando, FL 32801
(407) 425-5424
Florida Bar No. 577571
jhampton@floridabar.org
orlandooffice@floridabar.org
cjean@floridabar.org

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that The Florida Bar's Reply To Respondent's Response To Order To Show Cause Why The Referee's Recommendations Should Not Be Approved has been filed with the Supreme Court of Florida via the Florida Courts E-Filing Portal with copies served via the Portal to: the Honorable Jason L. Jones, Referee, at jonesja@leoncountyfl.gov, rissingerb@leoncountyfl.gov; to Augustus Sol Invictus, Respondent, at invictuspa@protonmail.com, and to Staff Counsel, The Florida Bar, at psavitz@floridabar.org, on this 10th day of December, 2025.



Jill Marie Hampton, Bar Counsel