



RON DESANTIS
GOVERNOR

May 9, 2025

Warden David Allen
Florida State Prison
7819 N.W. 228th Street
Raiford, Florida 32036-1000

Re: Execution Date for Anthony Floyd Wainwright, DC# 123847

Dear Warden Allen:

Enclosed is the death warrant that I signed to carry out the sentence for Anthony Floyd Wainwright as well as certified copies of his judgment and sentence. I have designated the week beginning at 12:00 noon on Tuesday, June 10, 2025, through 12:00 noon on Tuesday, June 17, 2025, for the execution. I have been advised that you have set the date and time of execution for Tuesday, June 10, at 6:00 p.m.

This letter is incorporated into and made a part of the death warrant identified above.

Sincerely,

Ron DeSantis
Governor

Enclosures

Received, Clerk, Supreme Court

MAY 21 2025

DEPARTMENT OF STATE
TALLAHASSEE, FL

2025 MAY -9 PM 2:47

FILED

Warden David Allen

May 9, 2025

Page 2

cc:

Honorable Carlos G. Muñiz
Chief Justice
Supreme Court of Florida
500 S. Duval Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Honorable Melissa G. Olin
173 N.E. Hernando Avenue
Room 239
Lake City, Florida 32055

Secretary Ricky Dixon
Department of Corrections
501 South Calhoun Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2500

C. Suzanne Bechard
Associate Deputy Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
The Capitol, FL-01
Tallahassee, Florida 32300-0001

Eric Pinkard
Capital Collateral Regional Counsel
12973 N Telecom Parkway
Temple Terrace, Florida 33637

Ali Shakoor
Capital Collateral Regional Counsel
12973 N Telecom Parkway
Temple Terrace, Florida 33637

Office of Executive Clemency
4070 Esplanade Way
Building C, Rm. 229
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2450

Baya M. Harrison
736 Silver Lake Road
Monticello, Florida 32344-4914

Anthony Floyd Wainwright, DC# 123847
Union Correctional Institution
7819 N.W. 228th Street
Raiford, Florida 32026-4000



STATE OF FLORIDA

JAMES UTHMEIER
ATTORNEY GENERAL

May 9, 2025

The Honorable Ron DeSantis
Governor
The Capitol
Tallahassee, Florida 32399—0001

RE: Anthony Floyd Wainwright

Dear Governor DeSantis:

Anthony Wainwright and co-perpetrator Richard Hamilton escaped from prison in Newport, North Carolina. They embarked on a multi-state crime spree leading to the abduction, sexual battery and murder of Carmen Gayheart on April 27, 1994. Wainwright was subsequently convicted of first-degree murder, armed robbery, armed kidnapping, and armed sexual battery. The trial court sentenced Wainwright to death for the murder and to three life sentences for the other convictions on June 12, 1995.

The Florida Supreme Court affirmed Wainwright's convictions and sentences on direct appeal. *Wainwright v. State*, 704 So. 2d 511 (Fla. 1997). The United States Supreme Court denied the petition for writ of certiorari. *Wainwright v. Florida*, 523 U.S. 1127 (1998). The Florida Supreme Court later affirmed the denial of state postconviction relief and denied the state habeas petition. *Wainwright v. State*, 896 So. 2d 695 (Fla. 2004)

Wainwright then filed numerous successive postconviction motions in state court over the years. The pro-se appeal of his most recent state successive postconviction motion, the seventh successive postconviction motion, was dismissed by the Florida Supreme Court on September 16, 2022. *Wainwright v. State*, SC2022-1187 (Fla. Sept. 2022).

On March 29, 2005, Wainwright, represented by counsel, filed a 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition in the Middle District of Florida. The federal district court dismissed the

petition as untimely, rejecting a claim of equitable tolling. *Wainwright v. McDonough*, 2006 WL 8449862 (M.D. Fla. Mar. 10, 2006). The Eleventh Circuit affirmed the dismissal of Wainwright's original habeas petition as untimely. *Wainwright v. Secretary, Dept. of Corr.*, 537 F.3d 1282 (11th Cir. 2007).

On June 21, 2019, Wainwright, now represented by Capital Habeas Unit, North, (CHU-N) filed a Rule 60(b)(6) motion in federal court. The district court denied the motion and denied a certificate of appealability. The Eleventh Circuit affirmed the district court's denial of the Rule 60(b)(6) motion to reopen and the denial of an evidentiary hearing on the issue of equitable tolling. *Wainwright v. Secretary, Fla. Dept. of Corr.*, 2023 WL 4582786 (11th Cir. July 18, 2023) (unpublished). On February 10, 2024, Wainwright, represented by CHU-N, filed a petition for a writ of certiorari. On April 15, 2024, the United States Supreme Court denied Wainwright's petition for writ of certiorari. *Wainwright v. Dixon*, 144 S. Ct. 1363 (2024).

The record has been reviewed and there are no stays of execution issued by any court of competent jurisdiction in this cause. Based upon the above-referenced summary of litigation affirming the judgments and sentences of death imposed for first-degree murder, the record is legally sufficient to support the issuance of a death warrant.

Sincerely,



James Uthmeier
Attorney General

State of Florida











v.

ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT

Defendant

Case Number 94-150CF


FINGERPRINTS OF DEFENDANT

1. Right Thumb	2. Right Index	3. Right Middle	4. Right Ring	5. Right Little
				
6. Left Thumb	7. Left Index	8. Left Middle	9. Left Ring	10. Left Little
				

Fingerprints taken by: Willie Gordon Deputy
 Name Title

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the above and foregoing are the fingerprints of the defendant, ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT, and that they were placed thereon by the defendant in my presence in open court this date.

DONE AND ORDERED in open court in Jasper, Hamilton County, Florida, this 12th day of June, 19 95.


 Judge

SENTENCE

(As to Count I)

The defendant, being personally before this court, accompanied by the defendant's attorney of record, CLYDE TAYLOR, and having been adjudicated guilty herein, and the court having given the defendant an opportunity to be heard and to offer matters in mitigation of sentence, and to show cause why the defendant should not be sentenced as provided by law, and no cause being shown

(Check one if applicable.)

and the Court having on May 30, 1995 deferred imposition of sentence until this date
(date)

and the Court having previously entered a judgment in this case on _____ now resentences
the defendant (date)

and the Court having placed the defendant on probation/community control and having subsequently revoked
the defendant's probation/community control.

It Is The Sentence Of The Court that:

The defendant pay a fine of \$ 15,000.00, pursuant to section 775.083, Florida Statutes, plus \$ 750.00
as the 5% surcharge required by section 960.25, Florida Statutes.

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Department of Corrections.

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of _____ County, Florida.

The defendant is sentenced as a youthful offender in accordance with section 958.04, Florida Statutes.

To Be Imprisoned (Check one; unmarked sections are inapplicable.):

For a term of natural life.

For a term of DEATH BY ELECTROCUTION PER ATTACHED ORDER.

Said SENTENCE SUSPENDED for a period of _____ subject to conditions set forth in
this order.

If "split" sentence, complete the appropriate paragraph.

Followed by a period of _____ on probation/community control under the supervision of the
Department of Corrections according to the terms and conditions of supervision set forth in a separate order entered
herein.

However, after serving a period of _____ imprisonment in _____, the balance
of the sentence shall be suspended and the defendant shall be placed on probation/community control for a period of
_____ under supervision of the Department of Corrections according to the
terms and conditions of probation/community control set forth in a separate order entered herein.

In the event the defendant is ordered to serve additional split sentences, all incarceration portions shall be satisfied before
the defendant begins service of the supervision terms.

SPECIAL PROVISIONS

(As to Count I)

By appropriate notation, the following provisions apply to the sentence imposed:

Mandatory/Minimum Provisions:

- Firearm** _____ It is further ordered that the 3-year minimum imprisonment provisions of section 775.087(2), Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.
- Drug Trafficking** _____ It is further ordered that the _____ mandatory minimum imprisonment provisions of section 893.135(1), Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.
- Controlled Substance Within 1,000 Feet of School** _____ It is further ordered that the 3-year minimum imprisonment provisions of section 893.13(1)(e)1, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.
- Habitual Felony Offender** _____ The defendant is adjudicated a habitual felony offender and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of section 775.084(4)(a), Florida Statutes. The requisite findings by the court are set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court.
- Habitual Violent Felony Offender** _____ The defendant is adjudicated a habitual violent felony offender and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of section 775.084(4)(b), Florida Statutes. A minimum term of _____ year(s) must be served prior to release. The requisite findings of the court are set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court.
- Law Enforcement Protection Act** _____ It is further ordered that the defendant shall serve a minimum of _____ years before release in accordance with section 775.0823, Florida Statutes.
- Capital Offense** XX _____ It is further ordered that the defendant shall serve no less than 25 years in accordance with the provisions of section 775.082(1), Florida Statutes.
- Short-Barreled Rifle, Shotgun, Machine Gun** _____ It is further ordered that the 5-year minimum provisions of section 790.221(2), Florida Statutes, are hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.
- Continuing Criminal Enterprise** _____ It is further ordered that the 25-year minimum sentence provisions of section 893.20, Florida Statutes, are hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.

Other Provisions:

- Retention of Jurisdiction** _____ The court retains jurisdiction over the defendant pursuant to section 947.16(3), Florida Statutes (1983).
- Jail Credit** _____ It is further ordered that the defendant shall be allowed a total of 0 days as credit for time incarcerated before imposition of this sentence.
- Prison Credit** _____ It is further ordered that the defendant be allowed credit for all time previously served on this count in the Department of Corrections prior to resentencing.



Other Provisions, continued:

Consecutive/Concurrent _____ It is further ordered that the sentence imposed for this count shall run
As To Other Counts (check one) _____ consecutive to _____ concurrent
with the sentence set forth in count _____ of this case.

Consecutive/Concurrent _____ It is further ordered that the composite term of all sentences imposed for the counts
As To Other Convictions specified in this order shall run
(check one) _____ consecutive to _____ concurrent
with the following:
(check one)

_____ any active sentence being served.


_____ specific sentences: _____

In the event the above sentence is to the Department of Corrections, the Sheriff of HAMILTON
County, Florida, is hereby ordered and directed to deliver the defendant to the Department of Corrections at the facility
designated by the department together with a copy of this judgment and sentence and any other documents specified by
Florida Statute.

The defendant in open court was advised of the right to appeal from this sentence by filing notice of appeal within
30 days from this date with the clerk of this court and the defendant's right to the assistance of counsel in taking the appeal
at the expense of the State on showing of indigency.

In imposing the above sentence, the court further recommends _____

DONE AND ORDERED in open court at Jasper Hamilton County, Florida,
this 12th day of June, 19 95.



Judge

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE THIRD
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF FLORIDA, IN AND
FOR HAMILTON COUNTY, FLORIDA.

STATE OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 94-150-CF

-vs-

ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT,
Defendant.

JUDGEMENT AND SENTENCE OF DEATH

THIS COURT, after due consideration of the facts presented at the guilt and penalty phases of the trial, the Sentencing Memorandum submitted by the Defendant and the State, the testimony and arguments presented at the Sentencing Hearing and after weighing the aggravating and mitigating circumstances and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, finds and decides as follows:

1. The defendant, ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT was found guilty by a jury verdict on May 30, 1995 of the following offenses:
 - a) Murder in the first degree
 - b) Robbery while armed with a firearm
 - c) Kidnapping while armed with a firearm, and
 - d) Sexual battery with great force while armed with a firearm.
2. Thereafter, on June 1, 1995, the jury returned an Advisory Sentence as to Count 1, Murder in the First Degree, recommending imposition of the death penalty against ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT for the murder of Carmen Gayheart, by a vote of 12 to 0.
3. Present before the Court this 12th day of June, 1995 is the defendant, ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT and his appointed counsel, Clyde Taylor.
4. The Court inquired of Clyde Taylor as to whether there was any legal cause why judgement and sentence should not be pronounced, and no legal cause was given.
5. The Court, independent of the jury, has considered the aggravating and mitigating circumstances presented in the evidence in this case. As required by the provisions of Section 921.141(3), Florida Statutes, this Court makes the following findings:

AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES: Florida Statutes 921.141 (5)

(a) The capital felony was committed by a person under sentence of imprisonment or placed on community control.

Finding: The unrefuted testimony at trial established that ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT was, until his escape from Carteret Correctional Center in Newport, North Carolina on April 24, 1994, serving a sentence of imprisonment. Accordingly, it has been proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the capital felony committed on April 27, 1994 was committed by ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT while he was under a sentence of imprisonment.

(b) The defendant was previously convicted of another capital felony or of a felony involving the use or threat of violence to the person.

Finding: The evidence established that ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT was convicted on September 9, 1994, in Lincoln County, Mississippi, of aggravated assault on a law enforcement officer, a felony involving the use or threat of violence to the person. Although the State argued to the jury that ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT was convicted on May 30, 1995, contemporaneous with his conviction of first degree murder, of the felonies of robbery while armed with a firearm, kidnapping while armed with a firearm, and sexual battery with great force while armed with a firearm, these convictions involve the same victim as the capital felony, Carmen Gayheart. Accordingly, these three convictions were not considered by the Court. However, it has been established by proof beyond a reasonable doubt that ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT was previously convicted of a felony involving the use or threat of violence to the person.

(c) The defendant knowingly created a great risk of death to many persons.

No evidence was presented as to this aggravating circumstance, the jury was not instructed on it and no consideration has been given it by the Court.

d) The capital felony was committed while the defendant was engaged, or was an accomplice, in the commission of, or an attempt to commit, or flight after committing or attempting to commit, any robbery, sexual battery, arson, burglary, kidnapping, or aircraft piracy or the unlawful throwing, placing, or discharging of a destructive device or bomb.

Finding: ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT was found guilty by the jury of robbery while armed with a firearm, kidnapping while armed with a firearm, and sexual battery with great force while armed with a firearm. Based on these convictions, the Court finds this aggravating circumstance has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

(e) The capital felony was committed for the purpose of avoiding or preventing a lawful arrest or effecting an escape from custody.

Finding: The unrefuted evidence establishes that ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT had escaped from prison four days prior to the commission of the capital felony, and was engaged in an ongoing and continuing effort to effect an escape from custody, and prevent his return to custody. It was also established by proof beyond a reasonable doubt that ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT committed the capital felony to prevent the victim, Carmen Gayheart, from identifying him, thus seeking to avoid or prevent his arrest for the robbery, kidnapping and sexual battery previously committed. The Court finds this aggravating circumstance has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

(f) The capital felony was committed for pecuniary gain.

Finding: Because the defendant was found guilty of robbery while armed with a firearm of Carmen Gayheart, and taking her motor vehicle and assorted personal effects, the state argued this aggravating circumstance to the jury. The jury was instructed on merger of aggravating circumstances, and the Court finds that this aggravating factor was merged with aggravating circumstance (d). Accordingly, the Court does not consider this aggravating circumstance.

(g) The capital felony was committed to disrupt or hinder the lawful exercise of any governmental function or the enforcement of laws.

No evidence was presented on this aggravating circumstance, the jury was not instructed on it and no consideration was given by the Court to this aggravating circumstance.

(h) The capital felony was especially heinous, atrocious, or cruel.

Finding: The Court finds proof beyond a reasonable doubt that this murder was extremely wicked, evil and vile, in that the victim of this case was abducted at gunpoint while placing groceries in her vehicle, as she was en route to pick up her two small children at a day care center. The victim was made to ponder her fate for at least one and one quarter to one and one half hours before her death while enroute to the location where she was murdered. While enroute to this location, the victim was sexually assaulted by ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT'S co-defendant, while ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT drove the victim's stolen vehicle. Upon arrival at the murder site, ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT sexually assaulted the victim, who endured approximately thirty minutes of terror before being strangled and shot by ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT. The victim cried and asked if he she was going to be released, but instead, she was murdered. According to the testimony of the medical

examiner, death by strangulation would have taken approximately four minutes, and it would have been approximately thirty seconds before unconsciousness. ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT described Carmen Gayheart's actions during strangulation as being like "a puppy when you hit it in the head." The Court finds beyond a reasonable doubt that the murder of Carmen Gayheart was conscienceless, pitiless, and unnecessarily torturous.

(j) The capital felony was a homicide and was committed in a cold, calculated, and premeditated manner without any pretense of moral or legal justification.

Finding: The unrefuted evidence in this case establishes that at least one hour and fifteen minutes to one and one half hours passed from the time of Carmen Gayheart's abduction until her murder. During this time, a calm discussion took place between ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT and his co-defendant about what had to be done with the victim. The victim was made to lay face down on the ground, and after a botched attempt at strangulation, she was shot twice in the back of the head with a .22 caliber single shot rifle, execution style. The victim's murder was preceded by a discussion about which weapon to use because of the noise factor. The Court finds beyond a reasonable doubt that there was heightened premeditation, and that there was absolutely no pretense of moral or legal justification for the murder of Carmen Gayheart.

(i) The victim of the capital felony was a law enforcement officer engaged in the performance of his official duties.

No evidence was presented on this aggravating circumstance, the jury was not instructed on it and no consideration was given to it by the Court.

(k) The victim of the capital felony was an elected or appointed public official engaged in the performance of his official duties if the motive for the capital felony was related, in whole or in part, to the victim's official capacity.

No evidence was presented on this aggravating circumstance, the jury was not instructed on it and no consideration was given it by the Court.

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES: Florida Statute 921.141 (6)

The Court has evaluated the possible application of each of the statutory mitigating circumstances set forth in Florida Statute 921.141 (6). In addition, the Court has considered all of the nonstatutory mitigating circumstances that were

presented by counsel or suggested by the evidence.

(a) The defendant has no significant history of prior criminal activity.

This mitigating circumstance is not applicable. The defense did not request instruction on this circumstance, no instruction was given, and the Court rejects this as a mitigating circumstance.

(b) The capital felony was committed while the defendant was under the influence of extreme mental or emotional disturbance.

This mitigating circumstance is not applicable. The defense did not request instruction on this circumstance, no instruction was given, and the Court rejects this as a mitigating circumstance.

(c) The victim was a participant in the defendant's conduct or consented to the act.

This mitigating circumstance is not applicable. The defense did not request instruction on this circumstance, no instruction was given, and the Court rejects this as a mitigating circumstance.

(d) The defendant was an accomplice in the capital felony committed by another person and his participation was relatively minor.

Finding: Although the Court instructed the jury on this mitigating circumstance, and counsel for ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT argued this mitigating circumstance to the jury, the Court finds no support for this aggravating circumstance from the evidence in this case. It is clear from the evidence that ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT and his co-defendant, Richard Eugene Hamilton, are equally guilty of the murder of Carmen Gayheart. In no way could the participation of ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT be considered relatively minor. To the contrary, evidence established that ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT bragged to two inmates that he shot Carmen Gayheart, and bragged to one of the inmates that he also strangled Carmen Gayheart. The Court gives little weight to this mitigating circumstance.

(e) The defendant acted under extreme mental duress or under the substantial domination of another person.

Finding: Although the Court instructed the jury on this mitigating circumstance, and counsel for ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT argued this mitigating circumstance to the jury, the Court finds no support for this mitigating circumstance from the evidence in this case. There is no evidence

difficulties with the criminal justice system, and his repeated brushes with the law occasioned by his stealing automobiles.

Finding: The Court has considered every aspect of defendant's background and character as revealed through the testimony of his mother. The Court finds that defendant's difficulties in school and his social adjustment problems, due in part to his problems associated with bed-wetting do provide some measure of mitigation. However, the Court accords them little weight as mitigating circumstances, and finds that these mitigating circumstances are outweighed by any single aggravating circumstance.

6. The Court has heard the testimony of Carmen Gayheart's family regarding victim impact at the sentencing hearing, but has not considered this testimony an an aggravating circumstance in arriving at this sentence. The Court has considered no evidence or factors in imposing the penalty herein and has no information not disclosed to defendant or his counsel, which the defendant has not had an opportunity to deny or explain.

7. It is the opinion of the Court that there are sufficient aggravating circumstances to justify imposition of the death penalty. The aggravating circumstances were proven beyond and to the exclusion of every reasonable doubt, and are so clear and convincing that virtually no reasonable person could differ. The Court is mindful that the sentence must be a matter of reasoned judgement, rather than an exercise in discretion. It is the Court's reasoned judgement that insufficient mitigating circumstances exist to outweigh or offset the aggravating circumstances. The Court, therefore, agrees and concurs with the advisory sentence recommended by the unanimous vote of the jury.


THEREFORE, it is the sentence of this Court as to Count 1 of the Indictment, that you, ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT, be adjudicated guilty of murder in the first degree and that you be sentenced to death for the murder of Carmen Gayheart.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that you, ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT, be taken by the proper authority to the Florida State Prison and there be kept and closely confined until the date of your execution is set. It is further ordered that on such scheduled date that you be put to death by a current of electricity sufficient to cause your immediate death and such current of electricity shall continue to pass through your body until you are dead, or that you be put to death by any other lawful means which shall be in effect at the time of your execution.

You, ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT, are further notified that a conviction and a sentence of death are subject to automatic and full review by the Supreme Court of Florida pursuant to Chapter 921.141 (4), Florida Statutes.

You are further advised that you have the right to the assistance of counsel in the filing and preparation of your appeal. The Public Defender of the Third Judicial Circuit is hereby appointed to represent you.

DONE AND ORDERED in open court at Jasper, Hamilton County, Florida, this 12th day of June, 1995.


E. Vernon Douglas
Circuit Judge

STATE OF FLORIDA
HAMILTON COUNTY

I, GREG GODWIN, Clerk of Courts in and for Hamilton County, Fla. DO HEREBY CERTIFY the within and foregoing is a True and Correct copy of the original as it appears among the files and records in the office of the Clerk of Courts of Hamilton County, Fla.

WITNESS my hand and official seal this 12th Day of June A.D. 1995

Greg Godwin - Clerk of Courts
Hamilton County, Florida

By Alisa Paul D.C.

DEATH WARRANT

STATE OF FLORIDA

WHEREAS, ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT, on or about the 27th day of April, 1994, murdered Carmen G. Gayheart; and

WHEREAS, ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT, on the 30th day of May, 1995, was convicted of first degree murder, armed robbery, armed kidnapping, and armed sexual battery; and on the 12th day of June, 1995, was sentenced to death for the murder of Carmen G. Gayheart; and

WHEREAS, on the 13th day of November, 1997, the Supreme Court of Florida affirmed the convictions and death sentence of ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT; and

WHEREAS, on the 24th day of November, 2004, the Supreme Court of Florida affirmed the trial court order denying ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT's initial motion for postconviction relief and denied his petition for writ of habeas corpus; and

WHEREAS, on the 10th day of March, 2006, the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida dismissed ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT's federal Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus; and

WHEREAS, on the 13th day of November, 2007, the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit affirmed the dismissal of ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT's federal Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus; and


WHEREAS, further postconviction motions and petitions filed by ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT have been denied and the denials affirmed on appeal; and

WHEREAS, executive clemency for ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT as authorized by Article IV, Section 8(a), of the Florida Constitution, was considered pursuant to the Rules of Executive Clemency, and it has been determined that executive clemency is not appropriate; and

WHEREAS, attached hereto is a certified copy of the record of the conviction and sentence pursuant to section 922.052, Florida Statutes.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, RON DESANTIS, as Governor of the State of Florida and


pursuant to the authority and responsibility vested in me by the Constitution and Laws of Florida, do hereby issue this warrant, directing the Warden of the Florida State Prison to cause the sentence of death to be executed upon ANTHONY FLOYD WAINWRIGHT, in accordance with the provisions of the Laws of the State of Florida.



IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the Great Seal of the State of Florida to be affixed at Tallahassee, this 9th day of May, 2025.


GOVERNOR

ATTEST:


SECRETARY OF STATE

DEPARTMENT OF STATE
TALLAHASSEE, FL

2025 MAY -9 PM 2:47

FILED



RON DeSANTIS
GOVERNOR
THE CAPITOL
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399

Honorable Carlos G. Muñiz
Chief Justice
Supreme Court of Florida
500 S. Duval Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399



quadiant
FIRST-CLASS MAIL
IMI
\$001.53⁰
05/12/2025 ZIP 32399

POSTAGE

CLEARED
MAY 21 2025
FLORIDA SUPREME COURT

32399 32399 0001

